1 2 3	DAYLE ELIESON United States Attorney District of Nevada ROBERT A. KNIEF Assistant United States Attorney		
4 5	501 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89101 Tel.: (702) 388-6503 Attorneys for the Plaintiff		
6 7 8 9 10	LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS Telia U. Williams, Esq. 10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150 Las Vegas, Nevada 89145 Tel.: (702) 835-6866 telia@telialaw.com Attorney for the Defendant, Ronald Harris		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
12	DISTRICT OF NEVADA		
13			
14 15	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 2:09-cr-00223	
16	Plaintiff,	and Case No. 2:16-cr-00014	
17	vs.	STIPULATION AND ORDER TO CONTINUE REVOCATION HEARING	
18	RONALD HARRIS,	AND SENTENCING HEARING	
19	Defendant.		
20			
21	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between Telia U. Williams, Esq.,		
22	counsel for the defendant, Ronald Harris, and Robert A. Knief, Assistant United States Attorney,		
23	that the hearing to determine whether to revoke Mr. Harris' supervised release, which was		
24	scheduled for Thursday, June 21, 2018 at 9:30am, and the hearing to sentence Mr. Harris,		
25	scheduled to take place on the same date, be continued to some future date at least 60 days from		
26	the aforementioned date(s).		
27	This Stipulation is entered into for the following reasons:		
28	1. Counsel for Mr. Harris previously contacted a mental health professional to		

1	evaluate Mr. Harris, who requires additional time in which to evaluate him, and		
2	prepare a report.		
3	2. Additionally, counsel for Mr. Harris	2. Additionally, counsel for Mr. Harris also requires additional time to prepare	
4	possible mitigation testimony from o	possible mitigation testimony from other third parties.	
5	3. The defendant is in custody, but has	3. The defendant is in custody, but has no objection to the continuance.	
6	4. Additionally, counsel for the United	Additionally, counsel for the United States has no objection to this continuance.	
7	5. Denial of this request for continuance	5. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.	
8	6. For all the above-stated reasons, the	6. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a	
9	continuance of the sentencing and di	sposition until a date and time convenient to	
10	the court.		
11	7. This is the fourth request for a continuous for a continuous form.	nuance.	
12			
13	DATED: June 11, 2018		
14	LAW OFFICE OF TELIA U. WILLIAMS	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY	
15	By: /s/ Telia U. Williams	By: _/s/ Robert A. Knief	
16	Telia U. Williams, Esq.	Dayle Elieson, Esq.	
17	10161 Park Run Drive, Suite 150	Robert A. Knief, Esq. Assistant United States Attorney	
18	Tel.: (702) 835-6866	01 Las Vegas Boulevard South, Suite 1100 Las Vegas, Nevada 89106	
19		Cel.: (702) 697-7525	
20	Attorney for Defendant, A Ronald Harris	ttorneys for Plaintiff	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28	3		

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27

28

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

VS.

Case No. 2:09-cr-00223

Plaintiff,

and Case No. 2:16-cr-00014

1 Idilitii

ORDER

RONALD HARRIS,

Defendant.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the Stipulation of counsel, and good cause appearing therefore, the court finds that the Stipulation by and between the United States and Ronald Harris to continue the revocation hearing in this case is entered into in good faith, and finds that:

- Counsel for Mr. Harris is in the process of obtaining a mental health evaluation and other supporting materials and/or testimony from third parties in support of mitigation of any penalty imposed against Mr. Harris.
- 2. The defendant is in custody, but has no objection to the continuance.
- 3. Counsel for the United States has no objection to this continuance.
- 4. Denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice.
- 5. For all the above-stated reasons, the ends of justice would best be served by a continuance of the hearing until a date and time convenient to the court.
- 6. The parties request the hearings be continued to some future date at least 60 days from present date of hearing.
- 7. This is the fourth request for a continuance.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW Denial of this request for continuance would deny the defendant herein the opportunity to fully prepare for his revocation hearing, and his sentencing hearing. Thus, denial of this request for continuance could result in a miscarriage of justice. **ORDER** IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Revocation Hearing and Sentencing Hearing that was scheduled for June 21, 2018 at 9:30 am, be continued to September 13, 2018, at 11:00 a.m. in Courtroom 7D. DATED this 13 day of June, 2018. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE